

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,042	10/26/2001	James R. Wangerow	IGT-1419	6266
7590 01/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Mark E. Fejer			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
Pauley Petersen Kinne & Fejer Suite 365			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2800 West Higgins Road			1754	
Hoffman Estates, IL 60195			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner L 949	Applicant(s) Vangerow et a/ Group Art Unit e/ 1754				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears						
Period for Reply		-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE ONE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent and the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	bly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>						
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.</li> </ul>	or formal matters, <b>pros</b> C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as to the merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims		·				
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
□ Claim(s)	_ is/are rejected.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election					
Application Papers		requirement				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		□ disapproved.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	–(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents		(-))				
in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received:						
		*				
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	terview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ C	ther				
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 10/015,042
Art Unit 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.  $\S$  121:

- I. Claims 2-9 and 17, drawn to a reactor for carbon monoxide control, classified in Class 422, subclass 189.
- II. Claims 10-16, drawn to a method for reducing an amount of carbon monoxide in a reformate fuel gas, classified in Class 423, subclass 656.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the non-allowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 121 are no longer applicable. See In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Serial No. 10/015,042
Art Unit 1754

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus, such as one which does not require the detailed limitations as recited in claims 2-9 and 17. For example the method would not require the specific catalysts as recited in claims 3 and 4, or the reformer vessel or fuel processor as recited in claims 5 and 17, respectively.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for

Serial No. 10/015,042

Art Unit 1754

Group II, and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (571) 272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit 1754

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

WAL:cdc

January 21, 2004

Mayne A. LANGEL
WAYNE A. LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER